



The Daily Dish

Biden's Jaw-dropping Regulatory Blitz

DOUGLAS HOLTZ-EAKIN | APRIL 23, 2024

Sometimes you can't improve on the [original](#):

The American Action Forum's "Week in Regulation" series dates back [just over 13 years](#). There has never been a week quite like this across that span. Thanks primarily to the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) latest tailpipe emissions rule for passenger vehicles, Biden Administration regulatory costs have crossed into trillion-dollar (with a T) territory. With 20 rulemakings containing some quantified economic impact, however, the EPA rule was hardly the only story of the week. Across all rulemakings, agencies published \$875.3 billion in total costs and added 4.7 million annual paperwork burden hours.

\$875.3 billion! In one week! Just \$20 billion less than what President Obama did in two terms!

As Dan Goldbeck's [piece](#) indicates, the Biden-era total now exceeds \$1 trillion in regulatory costs. The huge week also pushed the average cost per regulation above \$1.5 billion, where the Obama Administration averaged merely \$250 million. The full comparison, reproduced from the Week in Regulation, is shown below.

TRACKING THE ADMINISTRATIONS

REGULATORY ACTIVITY FROM INAUGURATION DAY TO APRIL 19th (Year 4)

	FINAL RULES	FINAL RULE COSTS	PAPERWORK HOURS
BIDEN 2021	851	\$1.37T	267M
TRUMP 2017	963	\$30.1B	67.5M
OBAMA 2009	1217	\$303.1B	235.6M
LAST UPDATED: APRIL 19 TH , 2024		AMERICANACTIONFORUM.ORG	

All in all, it is simply a jaw-dropping regulatory record. But perhaps even more stunning is the radio silence it is receiving from the media. Regulations are taxes in another form. If President Biden had imposed \$1.3 trillion in taxes by executive fiat, the media would be aflame.

The final point is that the Biden climate agenda is driving this regulatory tsunami. An alternative, and far more effective and efficient approach, would have been to adopt an economy-wide, upstream carbon tax where greenhouse gasses are taxed at their point of entry into the economy. When Eakinomics asks Bidenites why they did not pursue this approach, the usual answer is that people prefer carrots (e.g., clean-energy subsidies) to sticks. I don't know about you, but a \$1.3 trillion regulatory bill doesn't look much like a carrot to me.