



The Daily Dish

Update on Productivity Growth

DOUGLAS HOLTZ-EAKIN | NOVEMBER 8, 2019

Eakinomics: Update on Productivity Growth

Wednesday the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) [released](#) some bad news: “Nonfarm business sector labor productivity decreased 0.3 percent in the third quarter of 2019...as output increased 2.1 percent and hours worked increased 2.4 percent.”

Productivity growth is perhaps the most important indicator of economic conditions. As labor productivity grows, firms can pay their workers more and cover the costs without raising prices. In the near term, this dynamic is the definition of non-inflationary growth and greater international competitiveness. Over the longer term, this situation means rising real wages that feed a higher standard of living. There is (or at least should be) no constituency that opposes rapid, sustained productivity growth.

The recent performance of labor productivity growth is shown below for all private business, non-farm business, and manufacturing. Three features stand out. First, across all sectors the average growth was noticeably higher (on average) before the Great Recession than since the recovery began. Second is the reminder that productivity is pro-cyclical – hence the sharp decline during the recession and spurt of productivity at the start of the recovery.

The third is the divergence between the performance of manufacturing versus the rest of business after 2016. Productivity growth averaged below 1 percent annually for years leading up to 2017. One of the bright spots in the Trump Administration’s record was a recovery in productivity growth to a nearly 1.5 percent annual rate. But this trend has not held for manufacturing productivity, which averages under 1.0 percent and has been declining in 2019.

Labor Productivity Growth (year-over-year)



What is going on? After all, the president's rhetoric focuses on manufacturing and his tax, regulatory, and trade policies are seemingly driven by manufacturing considerations. Mechanically, there are two possibilities. It could be the case that weak investment has produced slow growth in capital per worker, leading to slow labor productivity growth. Or, it could be that workers are getting more capital but continue to operate with the same quality of capital as in the past, using the same methods as the past, and existing in the same business models as the past. That latter notion of productivity – advances in output that are not driven by the sheer quantity of inputs – is known as multi-factor productivity.

The BLS also releases data on multi-factor productivity, but only with a lag and on an annual basis. It is shown below. The manufacturing data are available only through 2017, but they show a clear deficit in productivity growth while the broader business sector shows a rise in productivity growth in and after 2016.

Annual Growth in Multi-factor Productivity



Productivity growth, and especially the ability of American entrepreneurs and innovators to drive faster rates of multi-factor productivity growth, bears continued scrutiny.