

Comparing the Recent Drug-Pricing Reform Proposals

Tara O'Neill Hayes

Published December 10, 2019; Updated December 20, 2019

If lawmakers' desire to <u>lower drug prices</u> can be measured by the number of bills they introduce, it seems fair to say their desire is strong. In the past few days, a number of bills have either been introduced or re-introduced, while another will be formally considered this week. At least two of the bills have bipartisan support, and a third, while introduced only by Republican members of Congress, consists largely of provisions that have previously garnered bipartisan support. These bills include 70 distinct measures intended to reduce spending on prescription drugs in one way or another.

These bills are:

- <u>S. 2543</u>, the Prescription Drug Pricing Reduction and Health and Human Services Improvements Act, a bipartisan bill from the Senate Finance Committee;
- <u>S. 1895</u>, the Lower Health Care Costs Act, a bipartisan bill from the Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions (HELP) Committee;
- H.R. 19, the Lower Costs, More Cures Act, from the House Republicans of the Energy and Commerce, Ways and Means, Education and Labor, and Judiciary Committees; and
- <u>H.R. 3</u>, the Lower Drug Costs Now Act, from Speaker Nancy Pelosi, which will be considered this week and which includes what would arguably be the most sweeping changes to how the United States pays for drugs to date—including implementing federal negotiation of drug prices.
- <u>S. 3129</u>, the Lower Costs, More Cures Act, from Sens. Crapo, Burr, Enzi, Tillis, and Barrasso, which largely mirrors H.R. 19.

This analysis compares these bills and notes the most significant reforms they are proposing. While there are unique parts to each bill, the bills' provisions overlap significantly.

Past AAF Analyses of These Drug-Pricing Reform Proposals

A summary of the original version of the Senate Finance bill can be found here; aside from tweaks to the Medicare Part D benefit design reforms, the revised bill is largely similar to the original. A summary of the drug pricing provisions originally included in the Senate HELP legislation is here; this legislation also includes provisions to address surprise billing and a number of public health measures. Most of the provisions included in H.R. 19 can be found in either the Finance or HELP packages or were included in various other bills previously considered by Congress. Further, many of the provisions in these bills are similar to proposals that the administration has put forward.



Most Notable Reforms

Federal Negotiation of Drug Prices

H.R. 3 includes new authorities for the Secretary of Health and Human Services to negotiate the price of drugs directly, using as a benchmark a weighted average of the international prices of such drugs, as explained here. This proposal is similar to a proposal from the administration to establish an International Pricing Index. The bill would authorize the secretary to negotiate prices on up to 250 drugs annually (the 125 most expensive drugs provided under each Medicare Part B and Part D).

Medicare Part B and Average Sales Price

Most of the Medicare Part B provisions focus on tweaks to the <u>average sales price (ASP)</u> <u>payment methodology</u> used for provider-administered drugs, with the changes seeking to encourage the use of lower-cost drugs, including <u>biosimilars</u>. One unique provision of note from H.R. 19 is section 103, which provides for variation in the Medicare Part B ASP payment rate based on the drug's price per beneficiary. If a drug's per beneficiary charge ranks in at least the 85th percentile, the Medicare payment would be reduced to 104 percent of ASP (rather than the currently standard 106 percent). For drugs ranked in the 70th to 84th percentiles, payment would continue to be 106 percent of ASP. For drugs in the 50th to 69th percentiles, payment would increase to 108 percent of ASP. Finally, for the half of drugs with the lowest per beneficiary charges, payment would increase to 110 percent of ASP.

The Benefit Structure of Medicare Part D

The most significant Medicare Part D provisions are those that would reform the benefit structure, similar to the <u>proposal</u> first put forward by AAF in 2018. S. 2543, H.R. 3, H.R. 19, and S. 3129 all include such a reform, with some differences. The key components included in each of these proposals include providing beneficiaries an out-of-pocket cap, reducing the government's reinsurance liability in the catastrophic phase, and requiring drug manufacturers to pay a share of the costs incurred in the catastrophic phase.

While each of the proposals sets slightly different parameters (which will result in substantial differences in the impact, particularly to the pharmaceutical industry), the various proposals are now more similar to each other than when originally introduced. The most significant change was a tweak to the Senate Finance bill which now would require drug manufacturers to cover a share of the costs in the initial coverage phase (7 percent) in addition to their liability in the catastrophic phase (now set at 14 percent). H.R. 3 would require manufacturers to cover 10 percent of costs in the initial coverage phase and 30 percent in catastrophic, while H.R. 19 would require a 10 percent manufacturer liability in both phases.



Other Notable Reforms

Finally, other measures include provisions aimed at increasing <u>price transparency</u> (including around <u>discounts and rebates</u> obtained by <u>pharmacy benefit managers</u>); increasing competition in the supply of drugs by making it easier for new products to come to market; and reforms to the <u>Medicaid Drug Rebate Program</u>.

Comparing Specific Provisions

Below is a comparison of the various bills showing, by section number, the significant overlap and few areas of uniqueness. Some of the areas where there is a lack of unanimous overlap is a function of a particular committee's lack of jurisdiction rather than a lack of support for such a provision. Shaded blocks denote areas where the bills include similar, but slightly different, provisions; otherwise, the provisions are identical or nearly identical.

Provision	Finance (S. 2543)	HELP (S. 1895)	Pelosi (H.R. 3)	House R's (HR 19)	S. 3129
Medicare Part B					
Improving ASP reporting	10101				
Inclusion of manufacturer coupons in determining ASP	10102				
Revised payment for biosimilars during initial period	10103			501	106
Temporary increase in Part B payment for biosimilars	10104		601		
Improvements to site-of-service price transparency	10105			101	101
Part B price inflation rebate	10106		201		
Refunds for unused drugs	10107			102	102
OIG report on bona fide service fees	10108				
Establishing a maximum add-on payment for Part B drugs	10109			104	104
Treatment of drug admin services by certain off-campus providers	10110			105	105
GAO study on ASP	10111			502	108
Providing for variation in ASP add-on payment				103	103



Authority to use alternative					
payment models to prevent drug	10112				
shortages					
Government "negotiation" of					
drug prices via international			101-102		
reference pricing					
Medicare Part D					
Part D Redesign	10121		301	121	111
Maximum monthly OOP cap	10121A		302	133	114
\$50 monthly cap on insulin costs				134	115
Requiring rebate pass-through at					
the point-of-sale	10121B	206			
Growth rate of OOP threshold					110
(delaying OOP "cliff")				135	116
Providing MedPAC/MACPAC					
drug pricing and utilization	10122			141	205
information					
Public disclosure of drug	10100			110	202
discounts and PBM provisions	10123			112	202
Public disclosure of DIR review	10124				
and audits	10124				
Requiring increased use of real-	10125			116	117
time benefit tools	10125				117
Improving provision of A&B	10126				
claims data to PDPs	10120				
Permanent reauthorization of					
retroactive Part D coverage for	10127			131	112
LIS beneficiaries					
Part D price inflation rebates	10128		202		
Prohibiting branding on Part D	10129				
benefit cards	10123				
Requiring plans to report					
potential waste/fraud/abuse to	10130			503	118
HHS					
Establishment of standard	10131		303	504	119
pharmacy quality measures	10101				
New star ratings for access to	10132				
biosimilars					1
HHS study on influence of					
manufacturer 3rd party	10133				
reimbursements hubs on	_5155				
prescribing practices					

4.11					
Allowing the offering of additional PDPs				132	113
Policies to lower costs for low-			Title IV		
income beneficiaries Miscellaneous					
Drug manufacturer price	10141			114	201
transparency	40440	000			
PBM transparency	10142	206			
Drug pricing dashboards	10143	212			
Improving coordination	10144			505	402
between FDA/CMS					
Patient consultation in Medicare	10145			506	
coverage decisions					
GAO study on					
Medicare/Medicaid spending	10146				
due to copay coupons					
MedPAC report on shifting drugs	10147			507	403
from B to D					
Treaty obligations	10148				
Reporting on excessive price	10141	412	501	111	
hikes					
Study on pharmaceutical supply		213		113	
chain					
Making drug marketing sample				115	204
info available					
Requiring DTC ads to include					
truthful and non-misleading				508	404
price information					
Create Chief Pharmaceutical				509	405
Negotiator at USTR					100
Waiving Medicare coinsurance				510	
for colorectal cancer screening					
Medicaid					
Medicaid P&T committee	10201			202	
improvements	10201				
Improving reporting					
requirements and developing	10202				
standards for use of drug review	10202				
boards					
GAO report on conflicts of	10203			203	
interest in state P&T committees	10203			200	

Ensuring accuracy of price information in MDRP	10204		204	
Excluding authorized generics				
from AMP	10205			
Preventing use of spread pricing	40000	000	005	
in Medicaid	10206	206	205	
T-MSIS data reports	10207		206	
Risk-sharing VBPs for outpatient	10208		207	
drugs	10206		207	
Modification of maximum	10209		201	
rebate under MDRP	10203		201	
Applying MDRP to drugs				
included in hospital bundled	10210		208	
payments				
FDA				
Purple Book reforms for patent		401	331-332	
transparency				
Orange Book modernization		406	341-342	
Streamlining transition to		403	361	
biologic products				
No new exclusivities for new		402	391	
biologics				
Biosimilars can show proposed				
indications have been		404	393	
previously approved for				
reference product				40=
Education on biosimilars		405	351	107
BLOCKING Act		407	321	
Clarifying meaning of new		408	394	
chemical entity				
Orphan Drug designation		409	392	
clarification				
New FDA authority for generic		410		
label safety information		444	004 000	
CREATES Act		411	301-303	
Pay-for-Delay prohibition			311-315	
OTC drug review regulations			370-382	

_

¹ The surprise billing provisions have been revised since this summary from AAF was written in July 2019 to reflect a bipartisan, bicameral compromise. The new provisions include the establishment of an in-network benchmark



rate upon which patients' cost-sharing would be based, as well as the option for parties to use an independent dispute resolution (binding arbitration) for bills exceeding \$750.